arXiv:1510.07552v1 [math.AG] 26 Oct 2015

ON THE MODULI SPACE OF HIGGS PAIRS WITH NILPOTENT RESIDUES.

DENIS DEGTYAREV

Abstract. We specify the conjecture about the structure of the Coulomb branch of N=2 supersymmetric quantum field theories in four dimensions

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Preliminaries 2
2.1. Generalities on moduli space of Higgs bundles. 2
2.2. Poisson structure via levels. 2
3. Integrable systems on symplectic leaves and their covers. 4
3.1. Marsden-Weinstein reduction 4
3.2. Covers of symplectic leaves. 4
4. Coulomb branch 5
References 5

1. Introduction

Let us given a N=2 supersymmetric quantum field theory (4d N=2 SUSSY QFT) Q. Physical arguments imply that we can associate to Q an algebraic integrable system $DW(Q)$ which is in fact a generalized Hitchin system [4]. More precisely, let Σ be a smooth algebraic curve. In this paper we study the moduli space of meromorphic G-Higgs pairs on Σ. The moduli space of such bundles has a canonical Poisson structure. Symplectic leaves of the Poisson structure are the main objects of our interest.

We start by recalling basic constructions of the moduli space of Higgs bundles and Poisson structure in section 2, following [7]. A point in a symplectic leaf of the Poisson structure is an isomorphism class of pair (E, φ), where E is the principal G-bundle on Σ and $\varphi \in H^0(\Sigma, \mathrm{ad}(E) \otimes K(D))$ is the meromorphic section with poles in the finite set of points D on Σ. Moreover, residues of meromorphic sections in a symplectic leaf belong to fixed coadjoint orbits of Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. In section 3 we concentrate on those symplectic leaves for which meromorphic sections of G-Higgs bundles have nilpotent residues.

One of the conjectures in [11] essentially states that the Hitchin map from $DW(Q)$ factors through an affine space $\mathcal{M}_{Coulomb}(Q)$. In section 4, we propose a further detailed

version of the conjecture about the structure of the Coulomb branch of the theory Q suggested in [11]. According to our conjecture, we identify the Coulomb branch of the theory Q with the affinization of the suitably defined finite cover of the symplectic leaf of the moduli space of meromorphic G-Higgs bundles.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. **Generalities on moduli space of Higgs bundles.** Let Σ be a smooth complete algebraic curve of genus g, K its canonical line bundle. Let G be a complex semisimple Lie group with the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$.

2.1.1. *Meromorphic Higgs pairs. A holomorphic K-valued G-Higgs pair* on Σ is a pair (E, φ) consisting of principal holomorphic G bundle E and a holomorphic section of the twist $\mathrm{ad}E \otimes K$ of its adjoint Lie algebra bundle by canonical line bundle, i.e. $\varphi \in H^0(\Sigma, \mathrm{ad}E \otimes K)$. Let D be a closed zero dimensional subscheme of Σ. We will denote also by D the corresponding effective divisor $D := p_1 + \ldots + p_m$ on Σ, which consist of distinct points. The pair (E, φ) is a meromorphic Higgs pair if $\varphi \in H^0(\Sigma, \mathrm{ad}(E) \otimes K(D))$. For simplicity, we shall denote $K(D)$ by L .

2.1.2. *Stable Higgs bundles. The Higgs pair is (semi-) stable*, if for any φ-invariant reduction $\sigma : X \to E/P$ of E to a maximal parabolic subgroup $P \subset G$, $\deg \sigma^* T_{G/P} > 0$ (resp. $\geqslant 0$), for more details cf. [10]. Here $T_{G/P}$ is a vertical bundle of $E/P \to X$.

According to [10], there is an analytic coarse moduli space $\mathcal{M}(G, D) = \coprod_{c \in \pi_1(G)} \mathcal{M}(G, D, c)$ of semi-stable pairs (E, φ). Connected components of $\mathcal{M}(D)$ are labelled by the topological type of G bundle E. We will denote the component of topologically trivial G-bundles also by $\mathcal{M}(D)$ for short.

2.2. **Poisson structure via levels.** In the classical setting of [6] the moduli space of holomorphic stable Higgs pairs is a partial compactification of the cotangent bundle of the moduli space of stable vector bundles. Therefore, it has a canonical symplectic structure. The moduli space $\mathcal{M}(D)$ of stable meromorphic Higgs pairs has a natural Poisson structure, see [1],[7]. We describe a Poisson structure on $\mathcal{M}(D)$ in two steps in much the same way as in the [7] but in a slightly different generality.

(1) As a preliminary step we realize a dense open subset of $\mathcal{M}(D)$ as an orbit space of a Poisson action of a group G_D on the cotangent bundle of the moduli space G-bundles with level structures.
(2) Next we exhibit a two-vector on the smooth locus of $\mathcal{M}(D)$ through the use of the cohomological construction.

2.2.1. *D-level structure. A D-level structure* on a G-bundle E is an isomorphism $\eta : E|_D \to G \times D$. Let $\mathcal{U}(\Sigma, G, c, D) = \coprod_{c \in \pi_1(G)} \mathcal{U}(G, D, c)$ be the moduli space of G-principal bundles with a level structure. We will denote connected component with $c = 0$ by $\mathcal{U}(D)$ for short. A point in $\mathcal{U}(D)$ is an isomorphism class of pair (E, η). The group $\widetilde{G}_D$ of

maps from D to G acts naturally on $\mathcal{U}(D)$ by changing the framing data η: an element $\widetilde{f} \in \widetilde{G}_D$ sends $[(E,\eta)] \to [(E, \widetilde{f} \circ \eta)]$ where $\widetilde{f}$ lifts f, $[\cdot]$ denotes the isomorphism class of a pair. The action of G_D factors through its quotient $G_D := \widetilde{G}_D/Z(G)$ by the diagonal embedding of the center of G. We will refer to G_D as a level group.

2.2.2. *The cotangent bundle of* $\mathcal{U}(D)$. Deformation theory arguments give identification $T^\vee_{[(E,\eta)]}\mathcal{U}(D) \cong H^1(\Sigma, \mathrm{ad}(E)(-D))$. Using Serre's duality we obtain natural isomorphism:

$$T^\vee_{[(E,\varphi)]}\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{D}) \simeq H^0(\Sigma, \mathrm{ad}E \otimes K(D)), \tag{2.1}$$

a point in $T^\vee\mathcal{U}(D)$ is an isomorphism class of triple $[(E,\varphi,\eta)]$ where (P,φ) is a Higgs pair.

The action of the level group G_D on $\mathcal{U}(D)$ lifts naturally to an action on $T^\vee\mathcal{U}(D)$:

$$[(E,\varphi,\eta)] \mapsto [(E,\varphi,\widetilde{f} \circ \eta)], \tag{2.2}$$

where $\widetilde{f} \in \widetilde{G}_D$ lifts f. The lifted action exhibits several remarkable properties:

(1) $T^\vee\mathcal{U}(D)$ carries a Poisson action G_D with respect to the canonical symplectic form on the cotangent bundle $T^\vee\mathcal{U}(D)$.
(2) The moment map $\mu : T^\vee\mathcal{U}(D) \to \mathfrak{g}_D^\vee$ is given by

$$\mu(E,\varphi,\eta) : A \mapsto \mathrm{Res}\,\mathrm{Tr}(A \cdot \varphi^\eta), \tag{2.3}$$

where $\varphi^\eta := \eta \circ \varphi \circ \eta^{-1} \in H^0(\Sigma, \mathfrak{g} \otimes K(D)|_D)$, $A \in \mathfrak{g}_D$ and the residue map $\mathrm{Res} : H^0(K(D) \otimes \mathcal{O}_D) \to H^1(\Sigma, K) \simeq \mathbb{C}$.
(3) G_D acts freely on the open subset $(T^\vee\mathcal{U}(D))^\circ$ parametrizing isomorphism classes of triples $[(E,\varphi,\eta)]$ where (E,η) is a stable pair of G-bundle with D-level structure and (E,φ) is a stable Higgs pair.

The third property of the lifted action makes $T^\vee\mathcal{U}$ into principal G_D-bundle over an open subset $\mathcal{M}^\circ(D)$ of stable Higgs pairs $\mathcal{M}^s(D)$. Hence the canonical symplectic structure induces a Poisson structure on $\mathcal{M}^\circ \simeq T^\vee\mathcal{U}^\circ(D)/G_D$.

A canonical global formula for this Poisson structure is obtained via cohomological techniques. The tangent space to $\mathcal{M}(D)$ at a smooth point is identified with the first hyper-cohomology of the complex $\mathcal{K} := \mathrm{ad}(E) \xrightarrow{[\varphi,\cdot]} \mathrm{ad}(E) \otimes L$:

$$T_{[(E,\varphi)]}\mathcal{M}(D) \cong \mathbb{H}^1(\mathcal{K}) \tag{2.4}$$

The dual of the complex $\mathcal{K}$ is the complex

$$\mathcal{K}^\vee := (\mathrm{ad}(E) \otimes L)^\vee \otimes K \xrightarrow{[\varphi,\cdot]^\vee} (\mathrm{ad}(E))^\vee \otimes K \tag{2.5}$$

Grothendieck duality gives a natural isomorphism $\mathbb{H}^1(\mathcal{K}) \cong \mathbb{H}^1(\mathcal{K}^\vee)^\vee$. For $\mathcal{K}$ we obtain the canonical isomorphism of complexes $\mathcal{K}^\vee \cong \mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-D)$. Composing with embedding $i : \mathcal{O}(-D) \to \mathcal{O}$ we get the morphism of complexes:

$$I : \mathcal{K}^\vee \to \mathcal{K}, \tag{2.6}$$

and using the duality we obtain the map

$$I : \mathbb{H}^1(\mathcal{K})^\vee \cong \mathbb{H}^1(\mathcal{K}^\vee) \to \mathbb{H}^1(\mathcal{K}). \tag{2.7}$$

The map I gives the element $\Omega_D \in \otimes^2\mathbb{H}^1(\mathcal{K})$ which is skew-symmetric. In such a way we have the global two-vector Ω_D on the nonsingular part $\mathcal{M}^{ns}(D)$ of the moduli space $\mathcal{M}(D)$. It turns out that restriction of Ω_D to $\mathcal{M}^\circ(D)$ is induced by the above Poisson structure on the quotient $T^\vee\mathcal{U}^\circ(D)/G_D$. The two-vector is then automatically Poisson.

3. Integrable systems on symplectic leaves and their covers.

3.1. **Marsden-Weinstein reduction.** The moment map 2.3 determines the foliation by symplectic leaves on $\mathcal{M}^s(D)$. Let $\mu([(E,\varphi,\eta)]) = e$, where $[(E,\varphi,\eta)] \in T^\vee\mathcal{U}(D)$, let $\mathcal{O}_e \subset \mathfrak{g}_D^\vee$ be the coadjoint orbit through e and let G_D^e be the stabilizer of e. Then the symplectic leaf $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{O}_e)$ through $[(E,\varphi,\eta)]$ is the connected component of

$$\mu^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_e)/G_D \cong \mu^{-1}(e)/G_D^e, \tag{3.1}$$

see [9] for details . Markman made remarkable observation in [7] that the moduli space of stable meromorphic Higgs pairs $\mathcal{M}^s(D)$ is a completely integrable system. The lagrangian fibration of $\mathcal{M}^s(D)$ is given by the Hitchin map $h : \mathcal{M}^s(D) \to \bigoplus_{i=1} H^0(\Sigma, L^{d_i})$ where d_i is the degree of the generator h_i of the algebra $\mathbb{C}[h_1,\ldots,h_r]$ of invariant polynomials on $\mathfrak{g}$ with $r = \operatorname{rk}\mathfrak{g}$. The symplectic leaf $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{O}_e)$ under the simplifying technical assumptions on the orbit $\mathcal{O}_e$ admits analogous construction, see [8]. In particular, the restriction of the Hitchin map h to the symplectic leaf $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{O}_e)$ is a Lagrangian fibration.

Remark 3.1. An element $[(E,\varphi)] \in \mathcal{M}^s(D)$ belongs to the symplectic leaf $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{O}_e)$ if $\operatorname{Res}_{p_j}\varphi \in \mathcal{O}_{e_j}$ at each p_j, $j = 1,\ldots,m$.

The cohomological identification of the Possion structure affords an opportunity to determine its rank on the symplectic leaf $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{O}_e)$ ([7]). The rank of Ω_D at $[(E,\varphi)] \in \mathcal{M}(D)$ is equal to

$$\operatorname{rk}\Omega_D = 2(g-1)\dim G + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \dim \mathcal{O}_{e_j}, \tag{3.2}$$

as a consequence dimension of the generic fiber equal to $\frac{1}{2}\Omega_D$.

3.2. **Covers of symplectic leaves.** Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a semisimple algebra of classical type. G will denote the Lie group of adjoint type.

3.2.1. *Nilpotent orbits.* From now on we assume that coadjoint orbit $\mathcal{O}_e$ is nilpotent, i.e. each component $\mathcal{O}_{e_j} \in \mathfrak{g}$ in $\mathcal{O}_e \cong \mathcal{O}_{e_1} \times \ldots \times \mathcal{O}_{e_m}$ is an orbit of the nilpotent element $e_j \in \mathfrak{g}$. In this situation the component group $A(\mathcal{O}_{e_j}) := G^{e_j}/(G^{e_j})^0$ is either trivial or a finite product of $\mathbb{Z}/2$, see [2]. The precise structure of $A(\mathcal{O}_{e_j})$ is completely determined by the partition $\mathrm{d}^j := [\mathrm{d}_1^j,\ldots,\mathrm{d}_{N_j}^j]$ of the nilpotent orbit $\mathcal{O}_{e_j} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{d}^j}$.

3.2.2. *Covers.* In view of the right hand side of the isomorphism 3.1, it is natural to consider a covering space for $\mathcal{M}(D)$. We will denote by $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{O}_e)$ the quotient $\mu^{-1}(e)/(G_D^e)^0$. Let $p : \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{O}_e) \to \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{O}_e)$ be the projection map.

4. Coulomb branch

Now deal with the restriction of the Hitchin map to the symplectic leaf $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{O}_e)$ for the nilpotent orbit $\mathcal{O}_e$:

$$h = (h_1, \dots, h_r) : \mathcal{M}^s_{\mathcal{O}} \to B := \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} H^0\left(\Sigma, K^{d_i} + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \nu_{d_i}(p_j)p_j\right) \tag{4.1}$$

where $\nu_{d_i}(p_j)$ is the pole order of the d_i-differential at the point p_j. Let $X^{\text{aff}} := \text{Spec}(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ denote the affinization of an algebraic variety, let $\mathbb{C}[X]$ denote the ring of regular functions on X. We propose the following.

Conjecture 4.1. *The canonical morphism $f : \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{O}_e) \to \mathcal{M}^{\text{aff}}(\mathcal{O}_e)$ can be included into the commutative diagram:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathcal{O}_e} & \xrightarrow{\widetilde{h}} & \mathcal{M}^{\text{aff}}_{\mathcal{O}_e} \\ \Big\downarrow p & & \Big\downarrow f \\ \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{O}_e} & \xrightarrow{h} & B \end{array}$$

where the finite morphism f is induced by the ring morphism $u : \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{O}_e}] \to \mathbb{C}[\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathcal{O}_e}]$.

Remark 4.2. The main value of the conjecture is that we obtain the isomorphism $\mathcal{M}_{Coulomb} \cong \mathcal{M}^{\text{aff}}_{\mathcal{O}_e}$. It is remarkable that $\mathcal{M}^{\text{aff}}_{\mathcal{O}_e}$ has expected dimension. In accordance with the above conjecture and the expression for the rank of Ω_D we have $\dim \mathcal{M}^{\text{aff}}_{\mathcal{O}_e} = \frac{1}{2}\text{rk}\Omega_D = (g-1)\dim G + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{1}{2}\dim \mathcal{O}_{e_j}$.

References

[1] F. Bottacin. Symplectic geometry on moduli spaces of stable pairs. *Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup.* (4), 28(4):391433, 1995.

[2] Collingwood D., McGovern w., Nilpotent orbits in semisimple Lie algebras. *Van Nostrand Reinhold-Mathematics Series. Van Nostrand Reinhold Co.*, New York, 1993.

[3] Chacaltana O., Distler J., Tachikawa Y., Nilpotent orbits and codimension-two defects of 6d N=(2,0) theories, preprint arXiv:1203.2930v1

[4] Donagi R., Seiberg-Witten integrable systems, *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.* 3-43, 1995.

[5] Donagi R., Markman E., Spectral curves, algebraically completely integrable Hamiltonian systems, and moduli of bundles, preprint: arXiv:alg-geom/9507017v2

[6] Hitchin N., Stable bundles and integrable systems. *Duke Math. J.* 54, No 1 91-114 (1987)

[7] Markman E., Spectral curves and integrable systems", *Compositio Math.* , 93(3):255290, 1994.

[8] Markman E., Integrable Systems, Algebraic Geometry and Seiberg- Witten Theory. In *Integrability: the Seiberg-Witten and Whitham equations*, eds H.W. Braden and I.M. Krichever, 23-41. Amsterdam: Gordon and Breach Science Publishers. 1999
[9] Marsden J., Weinstein J., Reduction of symplectic manifolds with symmetry, *Reports on mathematical physics.*, 1974.
[10] Ramanathan A., Stable principal bundles on a compact Riemann surface, *Math. Ann.*, 213:129 152, 1975.
[11] Tachikawa Y., On some conjectures extracted from supersymmetric quantum field theories, http://member.ipmu.jp/yuji.tachikawa/not-on-arxiv.html

National Research University Higher School of Economics, Moscow, RUSSIA
E-mail address: degtyarev.d.o@gmail.com